

Bingham McCutchen LLP
 WILLIAM F. ABRAMS (SBN 88805)
 william.abrams@bingham.com
 DAVID S. CANNON (SBN 209501)
 david.cannon@bingham.com
 KRISTEN A. PALUMBO (SBN 215857)
 kristen.palumbo@bingham.com
 ERIN A. SMART (SBN 246288)
 erin.smart@bingham.com
 1900 University Avenue
 East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2223
 Telephone: 650.849.4400
 Facsimile: 650.849.4800

Attorneys for Defendant
 Hewlett-Packard Company

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MMCA GROUP LTD., a Virginia corporation,
 Plaintiff,
 v.
 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, a
 Delaware corporation, PICA CORPORATION,
 an Ohio corporation,
 Defendants.

No. C-06-7067 MMC (EMC)

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
 ORDER CHANGING DEADLINES

[Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 6(b), Civil L.R. 6-1,
 6-2, 7-1, 7-12]

[No Hearing Required]

Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff MMCA Group Ltd. (“MMCA”) and Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company (“HP”) submit this stipulation and [proposed] order pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b) and Civil Local Rules 6-1, 6-2, 7-1, and 7-12, requesting that the Court extend the deadline for HP to file its Reply brief on its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment/Judgment on the Pleadings (Docket 360). HP’s Motion is currently scheduled to be heard on January 9,

C-06-7067 MMC (EMC)

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER EXTENDING REPLY DEADLINE

1 2009, and the parties do not request a change to the hearing date. HP's Reply papers are due on
2 December 10, 2008. The parties have stipulated and hereby request that the hearing date remain
3 on January 9, 2009, with HP's reply due on December 15, 2008.

4
5 II. BACKGROUND

6 On October 31, 2008, HP filed a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, or, in the
7 Alternative, Judgment on the Pleadings. The hearing on HP's Motion is currently set for January
8 9, 2009. MMCA submitted its Opposition on December 3, 2008 and HP's Reply is currently due
9 on December 10, 2008.

10 The parties previously stipulated – and the Court granted on November 10 - a two
11 week extension for MMCA to file its Opposition brief. This was based on a number of factors,
12 including the dissolution of one of MMCA's attorney's firms, and the deposition schedule of one
13 of MMCA's attorneys. The parties further stipulated to extend the briefing schedule to allow
14 MMCA additional time to review HP's document production. The Court granted the parties'
15 request to extend the briefing schedule on November 26, setting the current hearing and briefing
16 deadlines.

17 Since MMCA's Opposition was filed, several logistical issues have arisen related
18 to MMCA's Opposition, which have delayed HP's ability to respond. These relate to the bates
19 numbering and confidentiality designations of some of the documents MMCA included as
20 Exhibits to Declarations in Support of its Opposition. An issue has also arisen as to what MMCA
21 exhibits and declaration testimony can be shown to HP's counsel. HP has requested and MMCA
22 has agreed that the parties need additional time to resolve these issues and, accordingly, that it is
23 appropriate for HP to have additional time to file its Reply brief.

24 The Parties hereby agree to continue the briefing schedule on HP's Motion for
25 Summary Judgment, such that HP's Reply will be due on December 15, 2008, and the hearing
26 date will remain on January 9, 2009.

1 III. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS TO GRANT THE REQUESTED
2 ENLARGEMENT OF TIME

3 Due to the logistical difficulties presented by MMCA's Opposition, which are
4 described above, HP requires additional time to fully prepare its Reply. Because the hearing on
5 HP's Motion was previously moved to January 9, 2009, there is ample time for the parties'
6 requested extension to the deadline for HP's Reply. If the Court grants the parties' request and
7 moves the deadline for HP's Reply to December 15, 2008, HP will be submitting its Reply
8 almost three full weeks in advance of the hearing.

9 IV. CONCLUSION

10 For the reasons set forth above, the parties respectfully request that the Court
11 enter the [Proposed] Order continuing the deadline for HP's Reply to December 15, 2008.

12 DATED: December 9, 2008

13 By: /s/ William F. Abrams
14 William F. Abrams
15 BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP
16 1900 University Avenue
17 East Palo Alto, CA 94303
18 Telephone: (650) 849-4400
Facsimile: (650) 849-4800

Attorneys for Defendant
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

19 DATED: December 9, 2008


20
21 By: /s/ Fred Geonetta
22 Fred Geonetta
23 LITTON & GEONETTA, LLP
24 120 Montgomery Street, Suite 1600
25 San Francisco, CA 94104
26 Telephone: (415) 421-4770
27 Facsimile (415) 421-4785
28
Attorneys for Plaintiff
MMCA GROUP, LTD.

~~Proposed~~ Order Extending Briefing Schedule

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the deadline for HP's Reply on its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment or, in the Alternative, for Judgment on the Pleadings is extended to December 15, 2008. The hearing on HP's Motion will remain on January 9, 2009.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 10, 2008


Hon. Maxine M. Chesney
United States District Judge